

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**In re application of:** Scarborough et al.

**Application No.** 09/921,993

**Filed:** August 2, 2001

**Confirmation No.** 6882

**For:** DEVELOPMENT OF ELECTRONIC  
EMPLOYEE SELECTION SYSTEMS AND  
METHODS

**Examiner:** Lut Wong


**Art Unit:** 2129

**Attorney Reference No.** 5437-60780-01

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper and the documents referred to as being attached or enclosed herewith are being filed via EFS on the date shown below.

Attorney or Agent  
for Applicant(s)



Date Transmitted

August 21, 2008

FILED VIA EFS

**Letter to Examiner Lut Wong**

The Information Disclosure Statements filed on November 19, 2007, January 8, 2008, and January 24, 2008, were not considered by the Examiner for failure to include a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). Applicants believe such a statement was not required because the final office action had not yet been mailed when the IDSs were filed.


However, the point is now moot because a Request for Continued Examination was filed on August 19, 2008. For the convenience of the Examiner, Applicants submit herewith copies of the 1449 forms listing the references cited and request that the references now be considered. Copies of the cited references are not included because they have already been made of record in the Image File Wrapper.

Respectfully submitted,

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

One World Trade Center, Suite 1600  
121 S.W. Salmon Street  
Portland, Oregon 97204  
Telephone: (503) 595-5300  
Facsimile: (503) 595-5301  
cc: Docketing

By



Gregory L. Maurer  
Registration No. 43,781